ABSTRACT

Objective: To report a case series of Tessier 3, 4, 7 and combined 4, 7 craniofacial clefts, their clinical presentations, surgical approaches and outcomes in light of the current literature.

Methods:

Design: Case series

Setting: Tertiary Government Hospital

Subjects: Five patients

Results: Five patients aged 3 to 14-years-old with Tessier 3, 4 (2 cases), 7 and combined 4, 7 were included in this study: Tessier 3 – medial orbitomaxillary cleft extending through the bony skeleton traversing obliquely across the lacrimal groove, Tessier 4 – median orbitomaxillary cleft traversing vertically through the inferior eyelid, infraorbital rim and orbital floor extending to the lip between the philtral crest and the oral commissure (2 cases), Tessier 7 - macrostomia and cleft oral commissure and combined Tessier 4 and 7, combining features described above. Four underwent 2- or 3-stage surgeries while one declined.

Conclusion: Five craniofacial clefts were presented. Because of the varying patterns of craniofacial deformities, a series of surgical procedures, tailor-made for each individual were performed on four. Otolaryngologists who perform maxillofacial and cosmetic surgery should have good background knowledge about craniofacial defects and be familiar with the surgical approaches at their disposal to yield favorable results that are appropriate to their local contexts.
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Craniofacial clefts are among the rare congenital malformations with an incidence of between 1.43 to 4.85 per 100,000 births. To our knowledge, less than 50 cases of Tessier No. 4 clefts have been reported. The Tessier Classification System is a craniofacial cleft nomenclature devised by Paul Tessier in 1976 wherein he assigned a specific number to the site of each malformation based on its relationship to the sagittal midline of the facial bone.

Aside from genetic factors, environmental factors and the interplay of the two may contribute to the development of facial clefts. Although new cases of craniofacial clefts are encountered locally, few are documented in the literature. For instance, we only found two local articles on facial clefts by Tian et al. and Chiong et al. in this journal. Because of improvements in perinatal and pediatric care, there is a good possibility that more affected individuals will seek reconstructive surgery.

We present five such cases, their clinical presentations, surgical approaches and outcomes.
CASE REPORTS

Case 1

A 3-year-old boy with a Tessier 4 cleft had the following deformities: inferior eyelid coloboma on the right eye, bilateral cleft along the lateral margin of the cupids bow, epicanthal fold and microphthalmia on the left and cleft palate. *(Figure 1A)* He had undergone facial repair with an interdigitating local flap 3 years ago and palatoplasty the following year. Present examination revealed inferior eyelid coloboma of the right eye, epicanthal fold and microphthalmia on the left. *(Figure 1B)* Because of the redundant skin flap over the right inferior orbital rim from the previous surgery, revision was performed using transposition of upper-lid pedicle flap. *(Figure 2)* After two weeks, the flap was resected horizontally at the midline to facilitate eye opening.

Case 2

A 3-year-old boy with Tessier 4 cleft underwent cheiloplasty in 2010 and palatoplasty in 2011. The patient had a bilateral lower eyelid coloboma and corneal exposure. A simultaneous revision palatoplasty for oronasal fistula and oculoplasty via bilateral canthopexy were performed. *(No consent was given to reproduce his photos).*

Case 3

A 14-year-old boy with a Tessier 3 cleft presented with bilateral lower eyelid coloboma, distortion of the frontal process of the maxilla on the right, coloboma of the nasal ala and midline cleft lip with absence of philtrum. The cleft passed across the lacrimal segment through the soft tissue vertically extending to the alar base. *(Figure 3)*

Transposition of eyelid pedicle flap and medial canthopexy were done on both eyes. For the naso-malar component, soft tissue dissection and alar transposition advancement flap was done. The cleft lip was repaired with a straight-line closure. CT scan two days after surgery revealed hypoplasia of naso-maxillary bone and alveolar process. *(Figure 4)* A structural rhinoplasty and scar revision will be performed in the future.

Case 4

A 4-year-old boy with a Tessier 7 cleft presented with unilateral minor macrostomia (cleft length of 1-2 cm), left oral commissure cleft and preauricular skin tag. Left lateral oral commissuroplasty was done and the preauricular skin tag was excised. *(Figure 5)*

Case 5

A 5-year-old girl with combined Tessier 4 and 7 unilateral clefts on the left presented with an orbitomaxillary cleft that traversed the inferior eyelid and infraorbital rim extending onto the lip between the philtral
Figure 3. Case 3. (Upper row) Comparative views of the 14-year-old boy with facial cleft Tessier 3 before (Bottom row) Three weeks after surgery A. Frontal views B. Antero-inferior views C. Right lateral views of orofacial deformity. Photos printed in full with permission.

Figure 4. Three-dimensional Reconstruction CT scan of the Tessier 3 patient. Note hypoplasia of naso-maxillary bone and alveolar process and cleft between the upper central incisors.

Figure 5. Case 4: The boy with Tessier 7 cleft. Left, macrostomia, commissure cleft and preauricular skin tag; (Center) After commissuroplasty; (Right) Two weeks after the surgery. Photos printed in full with permission.
Crest and the oral commissure. She also had ipsilateral macrostomia and a cleft oral commissure. (Figure 6) Unfortunately, her family refused surgery.

**DISCUSSION**

Facial clefts may be defined as a gap (hypoplasia), misshapen face (dysplasia), interruption or deficiency in the continuity of a soft tissue (coloboma) or fissure in the soft tissue, bone or a combination of both. It may be located in the forehead, eyes, cheeks, mouth, nostrils and lips.⁶

Craniofacial defects may be diagnosed or classified under the Tessier Classification. This is the most widely used system which schematically assigns and designates numbers to describe craniofacial clefts.⁷ Based on this classification, the patients in this study include a Tessier 3 cleft — medial orbitomaxillary cleft that extends through the bony skeleton as a paranasal cleft traversing obliquely across the lacrimal groove with often complete absence of the anterior and medial wall of the maxillary sinus; two Tessier 4 clefts — medial orbitomaxillary cleft traversing vertically through the inferior eyelid, infraorbital rim extending to the lip between the philtrum crest and the oral commissure; a Tessier 7 (lateral or transverse cleft) — macrostomia and cleft oral commissure and presence of preauricular skin tag; and a combined Tessier 4 and 7 cleft, with features as described above. Four cases underwent 2- or 3-stage surgeries while one case declined. In a recent study, Butow proposed a new classification of lateral facial clefts based on the direction of the anatomical appearance as follows: T7.1 superiorly rotated, T7.2 — middle positioned, T7.3 inferiorly rotated and T7.4, agenetic type.⁷

A for embryology, a Tessier 3 cleft results from failure of closure of the naso-optic groove between the frontonasal and medial maxillary processes; a Tessier 4 cleft has been ascribed to primary arrest of development, neurovascular insufficiency or a result of tears in the developing maxillary process; while a Tessier 7 cleft may result from incomplete fusion of the mesodermal merging of the maxillary and mandibular processes.³

The etiology of orofacial clefts is multifactorial. Environmental factors include exposure to radiation and chemicals, intake of teratogenic drugs (retinoic acid, phenytoin, valproic acid and corticosteroid), maternal cigarette smoking, and folic acid deficiency during pregnancy.¹ Genetic factors also play a role in the development of facial clefts.

Other than embryologic abnormalities in the fusion of frontonasal and maxillary processes, the size of the facial processes affects facial morphology and susceptibility to develop a cleft. A smaller median nasal process or midface among Asians and flat nasal structures may predispose to orofacial cleft development while the reverse is true for Africans with broad, larger noses and increased facial widths representing well developed median nasal processes and a decreased propensity for clefting.⁶ A comprehensive discussion on the incidence, etiology, inheritance, transmission risks of orofacial clefting by Eppley is available in the literature.⁵

Case 1 (Tessier No. 4) was previously repaired with interdigitating local flaps but the result was not satisfactory due to scarring. Case 2 (Tessier No. 4) had bilateral lower eyelid colobomas and corneal exposure. Some surgeons would consider a Mustarde cheek rotational flap to reconstruct such large defects of the cheek as the upper flap edge will provide tissue for the lower eyelid.¹ Other have utilized z-plasty, advancement flap of the cheek and tissue expansion methods to close the defect.⁶ We employed an upper-lid pedicle flap for the first case and bilateral canthopexy to reduce corneal exposure on our second case. (Figures 2, 3)

Features of a Tessier 3 cleft include inferior displacement of medial canthus, superior displacement of the alar base, cleft lip and palate, coloboma of the lower eyelid, nasolacrimal abnormality, disruption of medial wall of antrum, cleft of inferomedial wall of the orbit and telorbitism.⁹ Anophthalmia or microphthalmia may be a feature of Tessier 3 clefts.⁵ Our third case had bilateral lower eyelid coloboma, distortion of the frontal process of the maxilla on the right, coloboma of the nasal ala and midline cleft lip with absence of philtrum.

Cizmezii³ performed an alar transposition flap and irregular z-plasty in a patient with Tessier 3 cleft. Because of the severe facial disfigurement, the patient had dropped out from school due to low self-esteem and isolation from his peers. After a series of surgeries, his cosmetically acceptable appearance provided renewed self-confidence and hope for a brighter future. In our case, transposition of eyelid pedicle flap and medial canthopexy were done on both eyes and soft tissue dissection and alar transposition advancement flap were employed for the nasomalar component. The cleft lip was repaired with a straight-line closure. A structural rhinoplasty and scar revision will be performed in the future. (Figure 5)
Mishra and Purwar proposed formatting a ‘split approach’ for the surgical management of Tessier 3 and 4 clefts in which the affected areas of the cleft were divided into three: lid, lip and nasomalar components. The formatting is done to segregate the cleft into different compartments. Afterwards, the surgical blueprint is drawn and performed one after the other. They concluded that formatting provides technical ease with pleasing surgical results after doing surgery in seven cases of Tessier 3 and 4.10

The Tessier 7 cleft is the most common among orofacial clefts with an incidence of 1 in 3000 neonates and is often associated with multiple congenital syndromes. It rarely occurs bilaterally (10-20% of cases).11 It has been described as craniofacial macrostomia, first and second branchial arch syndrome and otorhombic dysostosis.12

Gokrem reported five cases of Tessier 7 lateral facial clefts on the left or right oral commissure managed with the Skoog technique that utilizes z-plasty in the skin closure.13 A commissureplasty was performed in our patient.

We presented five cases of craniofacial clefts. Because of the varying patterns of craniofacial deformities, a series of surgical procedures was tailor-made for each individual. Soft tissue reconstruction was the common denominator used in the surgical techniques of the four cases operated on while others would integrate bone, cartilage grafts or implants in the reconstruction of such defects. (Table 1)

Orofacial clefts involve the skin, subcutaneous tissue, muscle and mucosa and do not necessarily extend to the bony structures. To document bony involvement, CT scan evaluation is required. Among our five cases, only the patient with a Tessier 3 cleft had a post-operative CT scan that revealed hypoplasia of the right nasomaxillary and upper alveolar process hypoplasia. A limitation of this series is that none of the patients were preoperatively evaluated for bony involvement. Whether such evaluation should be routine in low-income settings such as ours where costs outweigh benefits is arguable.

Surgical management of orofacial clefts is complicated, challenging and requires experience, expertise and craft. Otolaryngologists who perform maxillofacial and cosmetic surgery should have good background knowledge in dealing with these deformities and be familiar with the conventional and contemporary diagnostic and surgical approaches to yield favorable results that are appropriate to their local contexts.

Table 1. Summary of the clinical features and surgery of the 5 patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Age/ Sex</th>
<th>Tessier</th>
<th>Features</th>
<th>Management</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3/M</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>lower eyelid coloboma, right epicanthal fold; bilateral cleft through the lateral margin of the cupids bow; microphthalmia, left; cleft lip and palate</td>
<td>Cheiloplasty with facial repair using interdigitating local flap, ucranoplasty; upper lid pedicle flap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3/M</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Lower eyelid coloboma, bilateral; corneal exposure; cleft lip and palate</td>
<td>Cheiloplasty; ucranoplasty; canthopexy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>14/M</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>bilateral lower eyelid coloboma, distortion of frontal process of maxilla on the right, coloboma of the nasal ala, midline cleft lip with absence of philtrum; the cleft passes across the lacrimal segment through the soft tissue vertically extending to the alar base.</td>
<td>Transposition of eyelid pedicle flap and medial canthoplasty, straight line closure technique; soft tissue dissection and alar transposition advancement flap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4/M</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Unilateral macrostomia, left oral commissure cleft and preauricular skin tag.</td>
<td>Lateral commisuroplasty via straight-line closure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5/F</td>
<td>4,7</td>
<td>orbitomaxillary cleft that traverses vertically involving the inferior eyelid, infraorbital rim extending onto the lip between the philtrum crest and the oral commissure, macrostomia and cleft oral commissure</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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