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ABSTRACT

Objective: As a guide to the clinical practice of infiltration of local anesthesia into the pterygopalatine fossa via the greater palatine canal, this study sought to determine and record the mean CT scan measurements of the following: 1) palatal mucosal thickness, 2) length and width of greater palatine canal, and 3) length and width of pterygopalatine fossa among adult patients in a private tertiary hospital in Quezon City.

Methods: Design: Retrospective, Descriptive Study

Setting: Tertiary Private Hospital

Subjects: Paranasal Sinus (PNS) CT Scans of 113 adult patients from January 2014 to May 2014 were reviewed and evaluated. Excluded were images with pathology that distorted the anatomy of the sinuses and surrounding structures.

Results: Our study showed average CT scan measurements of 5.98 mm palatal mucosal thickness, 16.99 mm greater palatine canal length, 18.75 mm pterygopalatine fossa length, 2.37 mm greater palatine canal width and 2.58 mm pterygopalatine fossa width. Comparison of average measurements by sex was not statistically significant. There was statistical significance when comparing the right palatal mucosal thickness of 5.86 mm with the left which was 6.11 mm with p-value of 0.001. Comparison between the length of the right pterygopalatine fossa of 18.48 mm with the left side at 19.01 mm showed statistical significance with p-value of 0.01.

Conclusion: As the average measurement of the mucosal palatal thickness combined with the length of the greater palatine canal was 22.97 mm, we recommend bending the needle 23 mm from the tip in a 45 degree angle for adult patients who will undergo sinus surgery, control of posterior epistaxis, trigeminal nerve block and minor oral cavity surgeries.
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These anatomic structures are clinically important when preparing the nasal cavity preoperatively for endoscopic sinus surgery. Hemostasis can be achieved by infiltrating the pterygopalatine fossa with lidocaine-epinephrine solution through the greater palatine canal. This procedure is applicable in septrhinoplasty, management of refractory epistaxis, regional blocks for dental procedures and the treatment of trigeminal neuralgia.\(^1,2,3,4\)

Radio-anatomic measurements of the palatal mucosal thickness, greater palatine canal length and pterygopalatine fossa length are important to determine to accurately identify where to bend the needle used for injection. To the best of our knowledge, there have been no published local reports on CT scan measurements of palatal mucosal thickness, the greater palatine canal and pterygopalatine fossa.

This study aims to provide data of the CT scan measurements of the following: 1) palatal mucosal thickness, 2) length and width of the greater palatine canal, and 3) length and width of the pterygopalatine fossa among adult patients in a private tertiary hospital in the Philippines. Based on the data gathered, we aim to recommend where to bend the needle for instillation of local anesthesia of the pterygopalatine fossa through the greater palatine canal.

**METHODS**

A descriptive retrospective study design was utilized in this study. The study was conducted in a private tertiary hospital in Quezon City. A total of 113 computed tomography (CT) scans of the paranasal sinuses were reviewed and evaluated by the author and a radiologist. Each scan was divided and recorded into left and right side totaling 226 cases. We included CT scans of the paranasal sinuses of patients 18-years-old and older done in our institution from January 2014 to May 2014. We excluded scans with pathologies that distorted the anatomy of the sinuses and the surrounding structures such as fractures and masses.

Archived CT images of patients who underwent Functional Endoscopic Sinus Surgery (FESS) Protocol CT scans of the paranasal sinuses were retrieved and reconstructed as specified in the Multi Detector Computed Tomography (MDCT) protocol. Identification, measurement and recording of data were done together with a radiologist.

All PNS FESS protocol CT scans were performed using a 64-slice MDCT scanner (Philips Brilliance iCT, Philips Medical Systems, Ohio, USA).

Axial images, 3 mm slices in 1.5 mm intervals were acquired and reconstructed as sagittal and coronal images for each study. Using the Philips Extended Brilliance Workspace software version 4.5.5.51035 (Philips International B.V., Amsterdam, The Netherlands) slice thickness was adjusted with rotation of the angle views reaching 30 degrees in the axial and sagittal planes so that the entire pterygopalatine fossa and greater palatine canal could be viewed in a single slice.

Reconstructed images were reviewed and evaluated to measure the following: 1) thickness of the soft tissue in the palate labelled as AB; 2) greater palatine canal length labelled as BC and width; and 3) the pterygopalatine fossa height labelled as CD and width. The greater palatine canal was measured as the segment inferior to the maxillary sinus just prior to where it expanded widthwise becoming the pterygopalatine fossa. The pterygopalatine fossa was defined from the flared up superior extension of the greater palatine canal until it reached the inferior orbital fissure. The overlying oral soft tissue mucosa was measured starting from the free edge of the maxillary prior to becoming the greater palatine canal. The anatomic boundaries of the pterygopalatine fossa were the posterior wall of the maxillary sinus anteriorly, pterygoid plate posteriorly, inferior orbital wall superiorly and communication with the greater palatine foramen inferiorly. Using the Philips Extended Brilliance Workspace software version 4.5.5.51035 (Philips International B.V., Amsterdam, The Netherlands), measurements were taken by the radiologist with the otolaryngologist as the observer during the process. Figure 1 shows a sample image parasagittal cut with the measurements of palatal mucosa thickness, greater palatine canal and pterygopalatine fossa.

Microsoft Excel Professional 2010 (Microsoft, Redmond, WA, USA) was used for data recording and analysis. The confidence intervals of the average measurements were computed. Independent Sample T-Test was used to analyze the statistical significance of the measurements when compared according to sex and laterality.
RESULTS

A total of 113 PNS CT scans taken between January 2014 to May 2014 met the inclusion criteria and were reviewed and evaluated.

The patients' ages ranged from 24 to 77 years old with the mean age of 42.5 years old. Fifty-nine (52.2%) were females and 54 (47.8%) were males. Each CT scan study was divided and recorded into left and right side, totalling 226 sides.

Table 1 shows the CT scan measurements of palatal mucosal thickness, length of greater palatine canal and pterygopalatine fossa among adult male patients (in millimetres with 95% confidence interval).

Table 1. CT Scan measurements of palatal mucosa thickness, greater palatine canal length, and pterygopalatine fossa length among adult patients (in millimetres with 95% confidence interval)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Palatal Mucosal Thickness (mm)</th>
<th>Greater Palatine Canal Length (mm)</th>
<th>Pterygopalatine Fossa Length (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Right</strong></td>
<td>5.86 (5.76-5.96)</td>
<td>16.88 (16.70-17.06)</td>
<td>18.48 (18.22-18.74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Left</strong></td>
<td>6.11 (6.00-6.22)</td>
<td>17.11 (16.90-17.30)</td>
<td>19.01 (18.69-19.31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Combined</strong></td>
<td>5.98 (5.90-6.06)</td>
<td>16.99 (16.86-17.12)</td>
<td>18.75 (18.54-18.94)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 shows the CT scan measurements of the width of the greater palatine canal and pterygopalatine fossa among adult patients (in millimetres with 95% confidence interval).

Table 2. CT Scan measurement of the widths of the greater palatine canal and pterygopalatine fossa among adult patients (in millimetres with 95% confidence interval)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Greater Palatine Canal Width (mm)</th>
<th>Pterygopalatine Fossa Width (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Right</strong></td>
<td>2.53 (2.39-2.67)</td>
<td>2.78 (2.64-2.92)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Left</strong></td>
<td>2.33 (2.14-2.52)</td>
<td>2.54 (2.37-2.69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Combined</strong></td>
<td>2.43 (2.31-2.55)</td>
<td>2.66 (2.55-2.77)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 shows the CT scan measurements of palatal mucosal thickness, length of greater palatine canal and pterygopalatine fossa among females. The average palatal mucosal thickness was 6.05 mm with 95% confidence interval = 5.94–6.16 mm. The average length of the greater palatine canal was 17.02 mm with 95% confidence interval = 16.83–17.21 mm. The mean height of pterygopalatine fossa is 18.89 mm with 95% confidence interval = 18.57-19.21 mm.

Table 3. CT Scan measurement of palatal mucosa thickness, greater palatine canal length, and pterygopalatine fossa length among adult female patients (in millimetres with 95% confidence interval)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Palatal Mucosal Thickness (mm)</th>
<th>Greater Palatine Canal Length (mm)</th>
<th>Pterygopalatine Fossa Length (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Left</strong></td>
<td>6.05 (5.94-6.16)</td>
<td>17.02 (16.83-17.21)</td>
<td>18.89 (18.57-19.21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Combined</strong></td>
<td>6.05 (5.94-6.16)</td>
<td>17.02 (16.83-17.21)</td>
<td>18.89 (18.57-19.21)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 shows the CT scan measurements of the width of the greater palatine canal and pterygopalatine fossa among males. The average width of the greater palatine canal was 2.43 mm with 95% confidence interval = 2.31-2.55 mm. The mean width of pterygopalatine fossa was 2.66 mm with 95% confidence interval = 2.55-2.77 mm.

Table 4. CT Scan measurements of greater palatine canal width and pterygopalatine fossa width among adult male patients (in millimetres with 95% confidence interval)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Greater Palatine Canal Width (mm)</th>
<th>Pterygopalatine Fossa Width (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Right</strong></td>
<td>2.37 (2.30-2.44)</td>
<td>2.58 (2.50-2.66)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Left</strong></td>
<td>2.33 (2.21-2.43)</td>
<td>2.53 (2.42-2.64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Combined</strong></td>
<td>2.37 (2.30-2.44)</td>
<td>2.58 (2.50-2.66)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5 shows the CT scan measurements of the palatal mucosal thickness, length of the greater palatine canal and pterygopalatine fossa among females. The average palatal mucosal thickness was 5.93 mm with 95% confidence interval = 5.83–6.03 mm. The average length of the greater palatine canal was 16.97 mm with 95% confidence interval = 16.78-17.16 mm. The mean height of the pterygopalatine fossa was 18.61 mm with 95% confidence interval = 18.63-18.88 mm.

Table 5. CT Scan measurements of palatal mucosa thickness, greater palatine canal length, and pterygopalatine fossa length among adult female patients (in millimetres with 95% confidence interval)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Palatal Mucosal Thickness (mm)</th>
<th>Greater Palatine Canal Length (mm)</th>
<th>Pterygopalatine Fossa Length (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Right</strong></td>
<td>6.10 (5.94-6.24)</td>
<td>17.10 (16.82-17.38)</td>
<td>18.88 (18.49-19.27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Left</strong></td>
<td>5.93 (5.83-6.03)</td>
<td>16.97 (16.78-17.16)</td>
<td>18.61 (18.36-18.88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Combined</strong></td>
<td>6.05 (5.94-6.16)</td>
<td>17.02 (16.83-17.21)</td>
<td>18.89 (18.57-19.21)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6 shows the CT scan measurements of width of the greater palatine canal and pterygopalatine fossa among females. The average width of the greater palatine canal was 2.31 mm with 95% confidence interval = 2.22-2.4 mm. The mean width of the pterygopalatine fossa was 2.5 mm with 95% confidence interval = 2.38-2.62 mm.

The average width of the greater palatine canal was 2.37 mm (95% CI = 2.3-2.44) and the average width of the pterygopalatine fossa was 2.58 mm (95% CI = 2.5-2.66). The average palatal mucosal thickness among
males was 6.05 mm (95% CI = 5.94-6.16) which was thicker compared to females at 5.93 mm (95% CI = 5.83-6.03) with p-value of 0.11. There was no statistically significant difference.

The average length of the greater palatine canal among males was 17.02 mm (95% CI = 16.83-17.21) which was longer compared to females with 16.97 mm (95% CI = 16.78-17.16) with p-value 0.29, which was not statistically significant either.

When compared according to sex, the p-value was 0.13 which was not statistically significant.

The average length of the pterygopalatine fossa among males was 18.89 mm (95% CI = 18.57-19.21) which was longer compared to females at 18.61 mm (95% CI = 18.36-18.88) with p-value 0.19. There was no statistically significant difference.

There was a statistically significant difference in the average measurements based on laterality. The average palatal mucosal thickness of the right side was 5.86 mm (95% CI = 5.76-5.96) which was thinner than the left with average thickness of 6.11 mm (95% CI = 6-6.22) with p-value of 0.001.

The average length of the greater palatine canal of the right side was 16.88 mm (95% CI = 16.7-17.06) which was shorter compared to the left at 17.11 mm (95% CI = 16.9-17.3) with p-value of 0.09. There was no statistically significant difference.

The average length of the pterygopalatine fossa of the right side was 18.48 mm (95% CI = 18.22-18.74) which was shorter than the left at 19.01 mm (95% CI = 18.69-19.31) with p-value of 0.01 which was not statistically significant.

The average width of the greater palatine canal of both sides was compared with a p-value of 0.1 which was not statistically significant. When compared according to sex, the p-value was 0.13 which was not statistically significant either.

The average width of the pterygopalatine fossa was compared based on its laterality with a p-value of 0.29, which was not statistically significant. Comparison according to sex had a p-value of 0.05 which was statistically significant.

**DISCUSSION**

Accurate and correct identification of the nasal and paranasal anatomy is of utmost importance in endoscopic sinus surgery to avoid complications. It is very difficult achieve this when there is bleeding in the surgical field. Bleeding is an important problem during endoscopic sinus surgery. Different methods are practiced to attain hemostasis including local application of decongestant-soaked cotton or cottonoid inside the nasal cavity, infiltration of lidocaine:epinephrine solution into the axilla of the middle turbinate and transpalatal infiltration of lidocaine:epinephrine solution into the pterygopalatine fossa through the greater palatine canal. Wormald concluded that the infiltration of vasoconstricting solution to this fossa through the greater palatine canal demonstrated significant reduction of intraoperative bleeding during FESS. A study on the effect of greater palatine canal injection on estimated blood loss in sinus surgery showed a decrease in estimated blood loss in patients who had a greater palatine canal injection. Another study suggested that 2 ml of local anesthetic combined with adrenaline should be injected barely to the pterygopalatine fossa to achieve effective hemostasis.

The CT scan measurements of the palatal mucosal thickness, greater palatine canal length and width and pterygopalatine fossa length and width of adult patients may help surgeons prepare local anesthetic solution with the appropriate needle gauge and correct measurement of where to bend the needle from its tip. Bending the needle in the correct area may help prevent the tip of the needle being inserted further and possibly injuring the nerve and artery in the pterygopalatine fossa (which can result in complications such as extravascular injection with associated cardiovascular side effects, blindness due to vasocostriction of the ophthalmic artery, infraorbital nerve injury, infratemporal fossa abscess and meningitis).

In our study, the average palatal mucosa thickness was 5.98 mm (95%CI = 5.9-6.06). In the study by Douglas and Wormald the palatal mucosa thickness average measurement was 6.9 mm (95% CI = 6.2-7.6). This suggests a difference in average measurements between Caucasians and our sample. In a study by Methathrathip et al., the mean palatal mucosal thickness among 55 Thai cadaver heads was 6.7 mm (95% CI = 4.4 - 9), which is comparable with the results of our study.

In our study, the average length of the greater palatine canal was measured 16.99 mm (95% CI = 16.86-17.12). Douglas and Wormald reported an average of 18.5 mm (95% CI = 17.9-19.1) among Caucasian skulls. Methathrathip et al. reported an average length of greater palatine canal combined with pterygopalatine fossa of 29.7 mm (95% CI = 25.5 – 33.9) among Thai skulls. Howard-Swirzinski et al. studied Caucasian patients and measured the greater palatine canal length using Cone Beam Computed Tomography with an average of 29 mm (95% CI = 26 – 32). Two other studies reported lengths of 14.6 mm (9-20 mm) and 31.8 mm (30.45-33.19), respectively.

The mean length of pterygopalatine fossa in our study was 18.75

| Table 6. CT Scan measurements of greater palatine canal width and pterygopalatine fossa width among adult female patients (in millimetres with 95% confidence interval) |
|-----------------|-----------------|
|                  | Greater Palatine | Pterygopalatine |
|                  | Canal Width (mm) | Fossa Width (mm) |
| Right            | 2.32 (2.19-2.45) | 2.47 (2.30-2.64) |
| Left             | 2.31 (2.17-2.45) | 2.53 (2.37-2.69) |
| Combined         | 2.31 (2.22-2.40) | 2.50 (2.38-2.62) |
mm (95% CI = 18.54-18.94). Douglas and Wormald\textsuperscript{e} reported 21.6 mm (95% CI = 20.7-22.5).

Table 7 shows the results of our studies compared to other published reports. There was a statistically significant difference with a p-value of <0.0001. The differences of the means could be due to: 1) difference of the anatomy of Asians compared to Caucasians; and 2) most of the studies published used cadavers as their population while our study used strictly CT scan images and did not use cadavers.

**Table 7. Result Comparisons with Other Published Studies**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Palatal Mucosal Thickness (mm)</th>
<th>Greater Palatine Canal Length (mm)</th>
<th>Pterygopalatine Fossa Length (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Our Study (2014)</td>
<td>5.98 (5.90-6.06)</td>
<td>16.99 (16.86-17.12)</td>
<td>18.75 (18.54-18.94)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Douglas and Wormald 2006</td>
<td>6.9 (6.2-7.6)</td>
<td>18.5 (17.9-19.1)</td>
<td>21.6 (20.7-22.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methathrathip et al. 2005</td>
<td>29 (26 – 32)</td>
<td>14.6 (9-20)</td>
<td>29.7 (25.5 – 33.9) (GPC + PPF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Howard-Swirzinski et al. 2010</td>
<td>14.6 (9-20)</td>
<td>31.8 (30.45-33.19)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hassman 1989</td>
<td>14.6 (9-20)</td>
<td>31.8 (30.45-33.19)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheikhi et al. 2013</td>
<td>14.6 (9-20)</td>
<td>31.8 (30.45-33.19)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There have been several published reports recommending where to bend the needle. The recommendation of Douglas and Wormald\textsuperscript{e} is to bend the needle 25 mm from the tip at a 45 degree angle because the greater palatine canal and hard palate form an angle of approximately 60 degrees. This would facilitate the passage of the needle through the canal and to prevent the needle from penetrating too far the pterygopalatine fossa. This was supported by a study in Thailand which reported that the mean angle of greater palatine canal in relationship with the hard palate is 57.9±5.8 degrees in Thai skulls.\textsuperscript{7}

In our study, we did a radio-anatomic measurement of the width of the greater palatine canal and pterygopalatine fossa. The average width of the greater palatine canal was 2.37 mm (95%CI=2.3-2.44) and pterygopalatine fossa was 2.58 mm (95%CI=2.5-2.66). There are several published reports regarding the diameter of the greater palatine canal. Most studies were done with cadavers. Nimigean et al.\textsuperscript{11} studied European skulls and measured the antero-posterior diameter of the greater palatine canal as 4.90 mm (95% CI = 4 – 5.8). Methathrathip et al.\textsuperscript{7} studied Thai skulls and measured the greater palatine canal at a diameter of 2.70 mm (95%CI = 2.65 – 3.2).

The data from this study may be useful for the preoperative infiltration of lidocaine-epinephrine solution into the pterygopalatine fossa via the greater palatine canal– a technique used to achieve hemostasis during endoscopic sinus surgery and other procedures as well (e.g. control of epistaxis, treatment of trigeminal neuralgia, local anesthesia for oral procedures).

Measuring the palatal mucosal thickness, the length and width of greater palatine canal and the length and width of pterygopalatine fossa in preoperative PNS CT scans is recommended for the otolaryngologist to be able to properly prepare the needle for local injection of lidocaine and epinephrine solution for hemostasis prior to sinus surgery.

With the average measurement of the mucosal palatal thickness combined with the length of the greater palatine canal at 22.97 mm, we recommend bending the needle for local anesthesia 23 mm from its tip in a 45 degree angle for local patients who will undergo sinus surgery, trigeminal nerve block, control of posterior epistaxis and minor oral cavity and dental procedures under local anesthesia.

The differences of the results of our study compared to other published reports could be attributed to differences in subjects studied (e.g. cadavers or skulls vs CT scan images) and anatomic differences between Asians and Caucasians. Measuring the angle between the palatal mucosa and greater palatine canal was not done in this study and is recommended for future research.
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